**Ranking and Bonus Policy Decisions**

**CT BOS 2018 CoC Application**

**Approved by Steering Committee 8/17/2018**

**2018 Ranking and Bonus Policy Decisions:**

1. **General Ranking Order:**

* Renewal Projects that have been evaluated in order of CT BOS CoC Evaluation Score, HMIS, reallocations and Coordinated Entry projects in Tier 1
* Followed by first time Renewal Projects that have not yet been evaluated ranked to provide the maximum score on the relative ranking factor (1-X), mostly in Tier 2
* Followed by New Projects - regular and DV bonuses in order of preferred mathematical advantage for the CoC, also in Tier 2

***Motion: To approve the general ranking order. Motion passes.***

1. **General Bonus Allocation: ($2,056,022)**

* Allocate the bonus funds in thirds
* The CoC will divide the bonus into three applications: two at $685,341 each and one at $685,340

***Motion: To approve the general bonus allocation. Motion passes.***

1. **Types of Bonus Projects for General Bonus**

* Applications were received for PSH and RRH. Last year, BOS submitted two PSH projects and one was funded.
* ***Vote on whether to fund RRH, PSH or both. If SC decided to fund both models and has chosen Scenario 1 in decision above, determine allocation of funds into thirds.***

***Motion: To fund both RRH and PSH. The order of the applications to be 1) RRH 2) PSH 3) RRH. Motion passes.***

1. **Recommendations from the New Project Scoring Committee and Steering Committee for structure and programming in Regular (Non-DV) Bonus Projects:**

* Fund only the top 4 RRH and/or top 3 PSH projects for the general bonus with no CAN receiving more than one project.
* Project budgets for new units should be allocated based on the BNL for each CAN or similar logic of need based on methodology determined by DOH.
* Project budgets for services only for *existing* tenants should be allocated based on a formula using a standard proportion of those unserved in the existing program or a similar equitable standard that can be applied across programs/CANs.
* The State of CT should be the applicant for funding with providers as sub-recipients.
* The rental assistance administrator for all new RRH projects should be the same entity that the State of CT uses to administer other federal and state rental assistance resources.
* All projects should be encouraged to request supportive services funding at a level that ensures quality services are provided and includes resources for supervision of direct care staff.

***Motion: To adopt the above recommendations from the Scoring Committee and Steering Committee. Motion passes.***

1. **Specific Recommendations for the DV Bonus from the DV Scoring Committee and Steering Committee:**

* Units for the project should be allocated according to need and based on the DV BNL for each CAN.
* DOH should apply as the grantee (like all new RRH) with CCADV as a subrecipient. CCADV could then contract with providers to provide the housing location and support services. RRH should be preferably provided by agencies with experience in providing RRH.
* Service providers in the project must commit to be active members of the CAN and attend CAN meetings. If awarded funds by HUD, CCADV should institute a formal process for service providers to apply for funds.
* For continuity and consistency, rental assistance for the DV bonus should be administered by the same rental administrator that is currently used by the State of CT for existing homeless services programs if permissible under VAWA and other applicable regulations.

***Motion: To adopt the above recommendations from the Scoring Committee and Steering Committee. Motion passes.***