

CT Balance of State
(CT BOS)
Continuum of Care
(CoC)
Steering Committee
Meeting

March 20, 2026



Agenda

- Welcome and Introductions
- Announcements
- CoC Competition
- ACT Data Deep Dive
- Break-Outs
- BOS Governance
- Data Strategy Board Updates
- Partner Announcements & Other Business
- Steering Committee Meeting Schedule



Welcome New BOS Steering Committee Members!

Elected Officials:

- Arunan Arulampalam, Mayor of Hartford
- Laurie Sweet, CT State Representative

Law Enforcement:

- Jasmine Sanders, Sergeant, New Haven Police Department

Business Community:

- Russ Cormier, Nutmeg Consulting



CT BOS Group Agreements for Meetings

Developed by Consumer Leadership Involvement Project (CLIP)

- Be present and listen actively
- Choose courage over comfort
- Participate to the fullest of your ability
- Take space, make space
- Assume good intent, but also acknowledge impact
- Challenge ideas, not people
- Use "I" statements
- Recognize emotional tax, extra labor, and burden that Black, Indigenous, People of Color (BIPOC), Asian Americans/Pacific Islanders (AAPI), Latino/a/x, LGBTQ+, persons with Behavioral Health issues, DV survivors, Veterans and people with lived expertise/experience of homelessness face in some conversations
- Honor the Conflicts of Interest Policy





Words Matter

- We are committed to choosing words carefully and being mindful of their impact.
- Some terms being used currently are offensive to some.
- CT BOS wants to attend to this.
- So please raise your concerns

Approve Feb Steering Committee Meeting Minutes



DRAFT Feb 2026 BOS Steering Committee Minutes



Reminder: Post Steering Committee Debrief

- Anyone who has questions or comments about Steering Committee business can stay on at the end of the monthly zoom meeting.
- As always, questions/comments can also be sent CTBOSCoC@gmail.com or call a team member any time!





Missing out on BOS e-mails?

SIGN-UP HERE:

[LINK TO SIGN UP FOR
BOS E-MAILS](#)



Introduction to BOS Webinar Slides & Recording

- [Introduction to BOS Recording](#)
- [Introduction to BOS Slides](#)



[Link to BOS Renewal Evaluation Page](#)

2026 CT BOS Renewal Evaluation Key Dates

March

- Deadline to submit RED [support](#) requests: TBD

April (watch for email notifications)

- TBD: Final deadline to:
 - Make all data changes in RED
 - REFRESH in RED!
 - Submit score Change requests in RED

TBD:

- Change Request results visible in RED
- Results from Grievances visible in RED

Webinar: Administration & Indirect Costs Training

Webinar: 4/22: 10 - 11:30

- [Zoom Link](#)
- Meeting ID: 824 3955 8723; Passcode: 997663; Phone: 309-205-3325

Audience: Program Directors, Finance Staff, Staff responsible for overseeing compliance

Content: Documenting staff time, Direct vs Indirect, de minimis rates, Modified Total Direct Costs (MTDC), and Negotiated Indirect Cost Rate Agreements (NICRA), project admin costs and more





Eligibility Documentation Training

Webinar: May 6, 2026 | 10:00 - 12:00

Zoom Link - Meeting ID: 823 6890 1012 | Passcode:
476140 | Dial-in: 309-205-3325

What We'll Cover:

- HUD eligibility requirements for all CT BOS CoC & YHDP program types
- Types of documents meeting each requirement
- Using the New HMIS Homelessness Verification View to fulfill requirements
- Other Tools and templates to fulfill requirements
- Safety considerations
- Question and answer



HUD Policies Regarding Illicit Drug Use FAQs available



Homelessness Contributing Factors Dashboard

- Dashboard presents contributing factors captured during Emergency Shelter enrollment
- Shows data from a rolling 30-day window based on the latest refresh
- Includes both active enrollments and those closed during this period.
- Report provides timely view of recent ES entries to support monitoring, planning, and service coordination

Homelessness Contributing Factors Dashboard (2)

Homelessness Contributing Factors Dashboard - Last 30 Days

Last refreshed (EDT) : 17-Mar-2026 12:54 PM

Program Name

All

Coordinated Access Network

All

Continuum Of Care

CT-505 Connecticut Balance of Stat...

Program Type

All

Total Enrollment

7000

Total Assessment

2492

Primary Factor

1449

HUD HMIS Contributing Factors

1056

Additional Contributing Factors

1234

HUD HMIS Contributing Factors (% of Assessments)

% Physical Disability
14.81%

% Developmental Disability
7.66%

% Chronic Health Condition
20.79%

% Mental Health Issue
29.49%

% Domestic Violence
11.04%



CoC Competition



FY 2025 Notice of Funding Opportunity (NOFO)



Updates on FY25 and FY26 CoC Competitions

- Two lawsuits in progress regarding rescission of 2-year NOFO and replacement with FY 2025 NOFO
- HUD filed an emergency motion allowing them to proceed with FY25 NOFO
 - ✓ For grants with CY Quarter 3 & 4 end dates
- Court denied motion
- HUD appealed the decision.
- If HUD does not make FY25 awards by July 1, they must non-competitively renew all grants.
- HUD is required to publish the FY26 NOFO by June and to make awards by December 2026.

Brief Background Review - Revised 2025 NOFO

(Issued 12/19/2025)



Aggressive timeline likely due to Congressional deadlines.

Change: Permanent Housing (PH) **renewals** capped at 30% of Annual Renewal Demand (ARD). Revised NOFO applies PH cap to renewals only (**not new projects**)

Significant change in the competition - Two application tracks:

1. Track 1 (*Normal* Track)

- \$2.66B nationally = approx. 2/3 of total. All project applications except new PH

2. Track 2 (*Extended* Track)

- \$1.26B nationally = approx. 1/3 of total. New PH applications only – can only serve households with a disability (no restrictions on disability type)

✓ Tracks ranked separately

Steering Committee decided already: retain as many PSH units as possible

Proposed Guiding Principles for CoC Competition Track Decisions – Vote Today

General Principle: Align CT BOS Track 1 and Track 2 application amounts with national percentages set aside for each track

Track 1 Ordering

1. Previously determined renewal PSH units retained as PSH
 - (adjusted as necessary and in accordance with CT BOS PH retention Guiding Principles to reflect any changes in the NOFO and/or CT BOS projects non-competitively renewed)
2. SSO with Services/Admin/HMIS funding for retained PSH units
 - (previously decided: services will use a model where services follow eligible CoC participants across projects – H2H model)



[DRAFT Guiding Principles for FY2025 CoC Competition Track Decisions](#)

Proposed Guiding Principles for FY2025 CoC Competition Track Decisions (2)

Track 1 Ordering (continued)

3. Renewal system infrastructure projects (Planning, HMIS, CAN and DV SSO Grants)
4. Renewal SSO Street Outreach
5. YHDP grants (YHDP Youth Navigators, Crisis Housing, RRH transitioning to TH)
6. RRH projects with 30% or more of units designated for families transitioned to TH (both CoC and DV) families are less likely to include a person with a disability.
7. Prioritize for remainder: PSH renewals transitioning to TH in order based on original renewal score



Proposed Guiding Principles for FY2025 CoC Competition Track Decisions (3)

Track 2 Ordering

1. All reallocation funding resulting from renewal projects that will not fit in Track One
(i.e., renewal RRH with less than 30% of units designated for families, and lower scoring PSH renewals)
2. All DV & CoC Bonus funds for new PH for people with disabilities



Who Can Vote?



- Community Reps: Melissa Dzierlatka, Theresa Miles, Cami Hawkins, Ernest Saunders, Tracy Samuel, Ramon Garcia, Kelvin McCullough
- CT Department of Labor: Robert Bongiolatti or Heriberto Cajigas
- CT Department of Social Services: Cassandra Norfleet-Johnson or Theresa Rivera
- CT Department of Correction: Joy Zavarella
- CT Department of Children and Families: Kim Somaroo-Rodriguez or William Seals
- U.S. Department of Veterans Affairs: Maureen Pasko or Jen Moriarty
- Partnership for Strong Communities: Danielle Hubley or Carline Charmelus
- Public Health Representative: Maria Lorenzo
- Elected Officials: Arunan Arulampalam and Laurie Sweet
- Law Enforcement: Jasmine Sanders



Vote

HMIS Data Deep Dive

The Demographics of Homelessness in the Balance of State CoC

Presented to CT BOS Steering Committee February 20, 2026


Jackie Gardner, HMIS Director
jgardner@act-ct.org

Introduction to the Deep Dive

- Slides will review the universe of clients served in BOS, the overall demographic makeup of the population living in BOS, and the demographic makeup of people experiencing homelessness or in housing for people experiencing homelessness
- Demographics covered:
 - Age
 - Race
 - Gender
- Section 1: CoC/ESG project types
- Section 2: Coordinated Entry
- Extras: Detail charts included at the end of the slide deck for those who'd like to view more granular information




Section 1: Emergency Shelter, Street Outreach,
Transitional Housing, Safe Haven, Permanent
Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Rehousing (RRH)
and Permanent Housing Only Projects

Universe of Clients Served by Project Type



- Data from HMIS for Federal Fiscal Year of 10/1/2024-9/30/2025. Exported from HMIS November 20, 2025.
- Client Counts are unduplicated within the project type. Emergency shelter client counts reflect enrollments and not bed night check ins.
- Numbers reflect only projects from CT Balance of State.


Comparison Baseline: Demographics of Overall Population Living in BOS Cities & Towns



Age Demographics Highlight Priority Populations in Transitional Housing and Safe Havens


CLIENTS SERVED COMPARED TO GENERAL POPULATION

Emergency Shelter PSH RRH Street Outreach Transitional Housing (TH) Population




Age Demographics Over/Under Representation Compared to General Population by Project Type in CT Balance of State

AGE Demographics Compared to Overall Population




Race Inequities Persist in Population Experiencing Homelessness

Race/Ethnicity Demographics For Literal Homeless Project Types Compared to Overall Population




Racial Demographics Over/Under Representation Compared to General Population by Project Type in CT Balance of State

RACE Demographis Compared to Overall Population




Men Are Overrepresented in the Population Experiencing Homelessness

Gender Demographics For Literal Homeless Project Types Compared to Overall Population



Gender Demographics Over/Under Representation Compared to General Population by Project Type in CT Balance of State



Some Demographic Groups Report More EARNED Income at Entry

PERCENT OF CLIENTS WITH
EARNED INCOME AT ENTRY







- Younger Adults tend to have more **earned** income at entry than Older Adults.
 - For example, in Street Outreach Projects, 34.8% of clients age 18-24 reported having an earned income that averages out to \$1895 per month
- Women and people who identify as a gender outside of the male/female binary report having earned income at higher rates, but often their average monthly income is lower than that of men.
 - For example, in Emergency Shelter projects, 12.9% of women reported having an earned income at entry averaging \$1719 per month
 - By comparison, 8.4% of men in Emergency Shelter projects reported having an earned income, but the average amount was \$1891.
- When reviewing data by race, 30.4% of people who identified as Asian in Emergency Shelter reported earned income at entry, the largest percentage of any racial group
- For Street Outreach projects, 16.3% of people who identified as Black or African American reported earned income at entry, the largest percentage of any racial group


Older Adults, Especially Those Unsheltered, Report Disabling Conditions at a Higher Rate

- Almost 2/3 of Clients in Street Outreach report having at least 1 Disabling Condition, with most having more than 1
- In almost every demographic category, street outreach clients have higher rates of reporting a Disabling Condition.
- Age trends demonstrate that older adults experiencing homelessness are struggling with disabilities at a higher rate.
 - Worth noting that more than 7 in 10 adults age 55 and older in street outreach projects have one or more disabling conditions

Clients with Any Disability



Some Demographic Groups Move Into Housing Faster than Others on Average



- Average time from Program Entry to Move In Date shows a lot of variety in each demographic group.
- While the average number of days to move into PSH is just over 30, people who identify as American Indian averaged almost 47 days.
- The average number of days to move in for PSH is almost 45 days, but there is a greater variety in averages when disaggregating by demographic group.
 - People who identify outside of the gender binary had the longest average time of 55.3 days when reviewing data by Gender
 - Adults 18-24 and adults 55 and older averaged 50 days or longer to move in
 - Of the people who provided race information, those who identified as Black or African American had the longest time to move in with an average over 50 days as well.

Average Lengths of Stay for Leavers Reveals Some Groups Remain Homeless Longer, Others Stay in Permanent Housing Shorter than Expected

Average LOS in Days for **Leavers** by Project Type

Emergency Shelter	Street Outreach	Transitional Housing (TH)	Safe Haven (SH)	PH - Housing Only	PH - Permanent Supportive Housing (di..	PH - Rapid Re-Housing
104	132	169	98	752	1,787	230


- Lengths of stay vary by project type, due to the nature of the project.
- Some groups stay less time in PSH than expected-People who identify as Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander and people who identified as a gender outside of the binary averaged just under a year.
- Lengths of stay in shelter are longer for older adults, men, and people who identify as more than one race, Middle Eastern, and Native Hawaiian/Other Pacific Islander.

	Emergency Shelter	Street Outreach	Transitional Housing (TH)	Safe Haven (SH)	PH - Housing Only	PH - Permanent Supportive Housing (d..	PH - Rapid Re-Housing
0-17	103	123	321			1,431	293
18-24	69	80	125			1,502	303
25-34	83	122	218	105	461	1,125	251
35-44	87	140	251	45	876	1,413	156
45-54	96	153	172	67	193	1,602	164
55-64	120	152	187	120	682	2,047	153
65+	114	136	186	123	1,554	2,710	186
Unknown or Invalid Age	124	166				425	23

	Emergency Shelter	Street Outreach	Transitional Housing (TH)	Safe Haven (SH)	PH - Housing Only	PH - Permanent Supportive..	PH - Rapid Re-Housing
American Indian, Alaska N..	79	157	31	104		855	95
Asian or Asian American	78	107	56			4,047	94
Black, African American o..	96	129	121	84	631	2,096	256
Hispanic/Latina/o	96	110	189	355	120	967	216
Middle Eastern or North A..	110	114					43
More Than One Race	109	140	210	115		1,770	285
Native Hawaiian or Pacifi..	107	276	125			346	149
Unknown Race	58	102		6		880	72
White	95	153	180	98	891	1,665	138

	Emergency Shelter	Street Outreach	Transitional Housing (TH)	Safe Haven (SH)	PH - Housing Only	PH - Permanent Supporti..	PH - Rapid Re-Housing
Male	101	140	166	99	411	1,859	190
Female	91	136	175	89	1,044	1,745	243
Additional Gender Identiti..	79	147	46			417	562
Unknown	17	55				685	23


System Flow by Age Group



System Flow by Race/Ethnicity




System Flow by Gender



Exits from Projects

- Exits to Permanent Housing from Emergency Shelter are relatively consistent across demographic groups.
- One demographic group shows an interesting trend-people who identify as Asian have higher exits to homelessness from shelter than any other group, but higher exits to permanent housing from street outreach than any other group.
- Exits to deceased is highest in Permanent Supportive Housing and numbers skew towards older adults who pass away.

EXIT DESTINATIONS BY PROJECT TYPE




Section 2: Coordinated Entry Deep Dive

Coordinated Entry


- 15,433 Unduplicated Clients were referred through Coordinated Entry during the state fiscal year of 7/1/24-6/30/25 in Balance of State.
- The following analysis provides demographic details at the overall level, then by referral outcome.
- Important to note 5,246 (35%) clients had more than one referral to Coordinated Entry and will be duplicated across outcome types, but will be unduplicated within an outcome category.
- The total number of records associated with the 15k~ client outcomes is 37,807.
 - For example, a client may have 5 different appointments that result in a no show and then a 6th appointment that results in diversion. That client is counted once in the total clients referred to CE, but their outcomes are reported in both no show and diverted categories.

Who Accesses Coordinated Entry?


Age




Gender




Race




Age Demographics in BOS Overall Population




Gender Demographics in BOS Overall Population




Race Demographics in BOS Overall Population




Coordinated Entry System Flow by Age



Coordinated Entry System Flow by Race



Coordinated Entry System Flow by Gender



Trends Spotted in Outcome Data for Referrals to CANs


- People who identify as Black or African American are over represented in every outcome category
- Men are more likely to be added to waitlists, referred to outreach or warming centers, but women are more likely to be accepted for enrollment or diverted.
- People age 25-34 and 35-44 were the highest share of people being diverted from homelessness.
- Additional details by referral outcome appear at the end of the slide deck.

In Summary...

- Homelessness in the CT Balance of State shows persistent demographic disparities, with men and Black residents significantly overrepresented compared to the general population, while inequities vary by project type and system entry point.
- Age matters across the system: older adults are more likely to report disabling conditions and experience longer or more complex system stays, while younger adults often have earned income but do not consistently move into housing faster.
- Race and gender differences are evident in system flow and outcomes, including time to housing, length of stay, and exits to permanent housing, homelessness, or unknown destinations.
- Coordinated Entry data highlights who seeks assistance versus who experiences homelessness, with women and adults ages 18–54 more likely to access Coordinated Entry, and Black residents disproportionately represented among requests for assistance.
- Overall findings point to structural inequities and programmatic opportunities, underscoring the need for targeted strategies by age, race, gender, and project type to improve housing outcomes and system performance.

Potential Next Steps

- **Establish System Performance Benchmarks Across Key Outcomes**
Set measurable targets related to time to housing, coordinated entry outcomes, length of stay, and permanent housing exits to strengthen overall system effectiveness.
- **Review Key Decision Points to Promote Consistency and Efficiency**
Conduct structured reviews of Coordinated Entry triage, referral, diversion, and housing placement workflows to ensure policies are applied consistently and effectively.
- **Strengthen Housing Navigation and Retention Strategies**
Enhance supports that reduce move-in delays and improve housing stability for households with higher service needs.
- **Expand Cross-System Collaboration**
Increase coordination with healthcare, aging services, employment systems, and community-based partners to address barriers that impact housing stability.
- **Advance Data Quality and Continuous Improvement Practices**
Improve data accuracy, routinely review disaggregated system performance, and integrate findings into monitoring, planning, and resource allocation decisions.







Extras!

Deeper Dive Data Charts




Section 1 Charts: Emergency Shelter, Street Outreach, Transitional Housing, Safe Haven, Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH), Rapid Rehousing (RRH) and Permanent Housing Only Projects

EARNED Income at Entry



- Younger Adults tend to have more **earned** income than Older Adults.
- Women and people who identify as a gender outside of the male/female binary report having earned income at higher rates, but often their average monthly income is lower than that of men.
- **Data Quality Call Out:** An incorrect “frequency” error causes the average income calculation for some groups to appear dramatically higher.




Some Demographic Groups Move Into Housing Faster than Others on Average



- Data above reflects average number of days from project enrollment start date to move-in date entered in HMIS for Permanent Supportive Housing and Rapid Re-Housing projects.




Exits to Permanent Housing

Context to reading these charts: Of all exits from a project type, the charts below highlight the percent of exits to permanent housing for the selected demographic group. If all exit destinations were visible the total would equal 100% for each demographic group.






Exits to Homelessness

Context to reading these charts: Of all exits from a project type, the charts below highlight the percent of exits to homelessness for the selected demographic group. If all exit destinations were visible the total would equal 100% for each demographic group.



Exits to Unknown Destinations

Context to reading these charts: Of all exits from a project type, the charts below highlight the percent of exits to Unknown for the selected demographic group. If all exit destinations were visible the total would equal 100% for each demographic group.




Section 2: Coordinated Entry Referral Outcome Charts

Appointment Outcomes by Demographic Detail


Appointment Outcomes

Accepted for Enrollment 2,584


Age



Race




Gender




Appointment Outcomes

Diverted 19,222


Age



Race




Gender




Appointment Outcomes

Added to Waitlist 14,659

Age






Race



Gender



Appointment Outcomes by Demographic Detail Continued




Appointment Outcomes by Demographic Detail Continued


Appointment Outcomes

Unsheltered - Housing Plan in Place 2,651

Age



Race




Gender




Appointment Outcomes

Referred to Outreach Team 2,616

Age



Race




Gender




Appointment Outcomes

Referred to Warming Center 3,074

Age



Race



Gender



Discussions



- Introduce yourself, your role, and how long you have been attending Steering Committee meetings
- Discussion for today:
 - What were the most compelling data presented?
 - What are steps we can take at BOS to address any disparities?
- Please share suggestions in the chat or email to ctboscoc@gmail.com



CT BOS Governance Charter Proposals

VOTE TODAY! ALL STEERING
COMMITTEE REPS CAN VOTE.



Vote - Governance Proposal: Education Sector Representative to the Steering Committee

Motion:

- Add one Educational Sector Representative to the Steering Committee in addition to CT State Department of Education

(Louis Tallerita, CT State Department of Education, has stepped down)

Vote - Governance Proposal: Alternates

Motion: The following types of organizations with seats designated on the Steering Committee may opt to name an alternative representative in addition to a primary representative:

- Government agencies
- Nonprofit intermediaries/advocates
- Public health
- Elected officials
- Law enforcement
- Business community.



Alternatives may cast votes in the absence of the primary representative with each organization entitled to a single vote.

Vote Today on Steering Committee Nominations



Elected Official:

- Nick Menapace, State Representative, 37th District

Law Enforcement:

- Brian Wright, Chief of Police, New London Police Department



Semi-annual Meeting Topics?

Data Strategy Board Updates- March 2026

- Key Performance Indicator (KPI) subcommittee meeting to develop standardized metrics to assess functionality and outcomes of the homeless service system
- Select DSB members will join a subcommittee tasked with refining the coordinated entry workflow
- RFP for HMIS software vendor
 - Question and answer session held earlier in May
 - Proposals due 3/25/26



Data Strategy Board Updates- March 2026

- HMIS compliance monitoring starting in April
- Vacant DSB slots: 1 homeless service provider, 1 ODFC or CAN backbone org
 - You can apply here:
<https://forms.gle/9EuxMHZ2eo5sNkwA7>
- Reminders:
 - If you are experiencing issues with HMIS, do not suffer in silence- put in a help desk ticket.
 - Nutmeg holds office hours every Thursday at 2:00
 - Nutmeg holds office hours on Fridays at 2:00 specifically for the Engage app



Data Strategy Board Updates- March 2026

- New Data/Research Sources:
 - New dashboard on contributing factors to homelessness <https://cthmis.com/hmis-data-access-insights/homelessness-contributing-factors-dashboard/>
 - New report from Data Haven on impact of proposed federal cuts on homelessness: <https://ctdatahaven.org/report/connecticut-homelessness-data-2026/>





Partner Announcements

Other Business?



Upcoming CT BOS SC Meetings



Meetings are from 11:00-12:30 unless otherwise noted

- April 17, 2026
- May 15, 2026-
Semi-annual (11-1)
- June 12, 2026
- July 17, 2026; 11-12:30
- Aug 21, 2026; 11-12:30
- Sept 18, 2026; 11-12:30
- Oct 16, 2026; 11-12:30
- Nov 20, 2026; 11-12:30
- Dec 18, 2026; 11-12:30

Zoom Info for all SC meetings:

- [Meeting link](#)
- Meeting ID: 956 4487 8479
- Password: 414595
- Call-in number: 646-876-9923

CT BOS Team (Housing Innovations)



CT BOS CoC

ctboscoc@gmail.com

Suzanne Wagner

swagner@housinginnovations.us

Lauren Pareti

lpareti@housinginnovations.us

Sara Zuiderveen

saraz@housinginnovations.us

Shannon Quinn-Sheeran

shannon@housinginnovations.us

Myles Wensek

mylesw@housinginnovations.us

Liz Isaacs

lisaacs@housinginnovations.us



Hug
Someone
Today!
